A pilot study to use the <sup>36</sup>CI bomb peak as a tracer for groundwater travel times in the Western Dead Sea catchment

**Cornelia Wilske,** Axel Suckow, Tino Roediger, Stefan Geyer, Stephan M. Weise, Silke Merchel, Georg Rugel, Stefan Pavetich, Broder J. Merkel, Christian Siebert





HELMHOLTZ | CENTRE FOR | ENVIRONMENTAL | RESEARCH – UFZ

#### Western Dead Sea aquifer system





#### **RECHARGE AREA**



**DISCHARGE AREA** 

- No surface fresh water reservoirs
- Precipitation only
  in the winter
  season : 800 mm
  (Mountains) to
  <100 mm (Lower</li>
  Jordan Valley)

### **Motivation**

Estimation of groundwater resources in a stressed aquifer systems

**Research question** 

**1. How long does the groundwater stay in the aquifer?** 

2. Is it possible to estimate mean residence times in a complex geological setting?

3. How can we use <sup>36</sup>Cl as tool for answering our questions?

**Groundwater "Dating"** 

3.RESULTS

4. CONCLUSION/ OUTLOOK



#### <sup>4</sup>He: 500- >100 000a (age estimates)



0.1

#### **Atmospheric input**



PAGE 5



Suckow (2014): The age of groundwater – Definitions, models and why we do not need this term. Applied Geochemistry 50, pp. 222-230.

## Application of a Lumped parameter model (Suckow 2012)



2.METHODICAL APPROACH

**3.RESULTS** 

4. CONCLUSION/ OUTLOOK

## **Sampling locations & parameters**

#### Hydro-/Geological groups



3.RESULTS 4. CONCLUSION/ OUTLOOK

#### **Groundwater analyses: <sup>36</sup>CI/CI & Chloride concentration**



#### **Upper aquifer - Samia 2**



#### **Upper aquifer - Samia 2** <sup>36</sup>CI/CI vs. Tritium **Dispersion model** 5E-13 Tritium input \* 0.6 • **Dispersion model curve** <sup>36</sup>CI/CI input \* 3 Mean residence time MRT [a] • 4E-13 18 Mix 3E-13 Model B 36CI/CI Model A 16 Quaternary aquifer 200 Upper JGA - Northern spring 2E-13 Samia 2 Ω **.**0.1 Upper JGA - Wells 14 0.5 0.6 0.7 08 00' Upper & Lower JGA - Wells Lower JGA - Wells 20057 1E-13 Ein Feshka Mixing of 40% 210 a MRT 60% 6 a MRT 0 2 6 8 10 0 4 Tritium [TU]

2.METHODICAL APPROACH

3.RESULTS

4. CONCLUSION/ OUTLOOK

#### **Upper aquifer - Samia 2**

## SF<sub>6</sub>vs. Tritium



### **Upper aquifer - Samia 2**



#### Lower aquifer - Jerusalem 1



#### Lower aquifer - Jerusalem 1



- Bomb-produced <sup>36</sup>Cl successfully used to calibrate lumped parameter model
- Complex geological setting: only multi-tracer approach gives reliable results

# Recharge area – Lower Aquifer (Cretaceous)Jerusalem 1Mixing of 70 % 145 a MRT<br/>30% 20 a MRT

**Travel times confirmed by 4 tracers** 

Recharge area - Upper Aquifer (Cretaceous)Samia 2Mixing of ~50% 210 a MRT<br/>~ 50% 6 a MRT

- Improvement of groundwater flow model and further estimation of groundwater quantity calculations
- Estimation of groundwater vulnerability in regard to flow path extension in the karst environment
- Need of further classifications of groundwater components >50 a, including determination of spring water





## Thank you for your attention!

SPONSORED BY THE









HELMHOLTZ | ZENTRUM FÜR | UMWELTFORSCHUNG | UFZ

SEITE 17